UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,434	02/13/2001	Martin Franz	YOR9-2001-0011US1 (8728-4	9870
75	10/01/2004		EXAM	INER
Frank Chau, Esq.			JACKSON, JAKIEDA R	
F. CHAU & AS	SSOCIATES, LLP			
Suite 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 Hempstead Turnpike			2655	
East Meadow,	NY 11554		D. FED. 111 DD. 10101/000	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/782,434	FRANZ ET AL.			
Auvisory notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jakieda R Jackson	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-24.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	J	What we susan metadden			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other:

Applicant argues that Gillick does not disclose "determining a set of coefficients", much less "combining the language model scores". However Gillick does disclose "determining the coefficients" (frames of parameter; figure 2, element 210) and combining the language model score (column 16, lines 8-11), based on a context of the current word (bigram; column 7, lines 39-41).

Applicant also argues that Gillick does not disclose "dividing spoken utterances". However, Gillick is a speech recognition reference (column 3, lines 54-56 with column 18, lines 41-45).

Also, applicant argues that Gillick does not disclose a "history n-gram". However, history n-gram is inherent in k-grams (k words; column 16, lines 46-48).

Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be entered.